

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION | NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-------------|----------|---------------|-----------------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/736,195 | ; | 12/15/2003 | Paul Adriaan Van Der Schaaf | LS/91-22115/CONT | 4349 |
| 324 | 7590 | 01/26/2005 | EXAMINER | | INER |
| CIBA S | PECIAL | TY CHEMICALS | BARTS, SAMUEL A | | |
| PATENT | T DEPAR | TMENT | , | | |
| 540 WH | ITE PLAI | INS RD | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| P O BOX | X 2005 | | 1621 | | |
| TARRY | TOWN, 1 | NY 10591-9005 | DATE MAILED: 01/26/2005 | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | - | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|-----------------------|--|--|--|
| | | 10/736,195 | VAN DER SCHAA | VAN DER SCHAAF ET AL. | | | |
| | Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | | Samuel A Barts | 1621 | | | | |
| Period fo | The MAILING DATE of this communication | appears on the cover sheet v | vith the correspondence ad | dress | | | |
| A SH THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIOnsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by steply received by the Office later than three months after the red patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ON. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a n. a reply within the statutory minimum of the eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO tatute, cause the application to become A | reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely NTHS from the mailing date of this co | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | |
| 1)⊠ | Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1 | 11/1/04. | | | | | |
| · | | This action is non-final. | | | | | |
| 3) | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | |
| Disposit | ion of Claims | | | | | | |
| 5) | | | | | | | |
| Applicati | ion Papers | | | | | | |
| 10) | The specification is objected to by the Exar The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co The oath or declaration is objected to by the | accepted or b) objected to the drawing(s) be held in abeya rrection is required if the drawing | ince. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CF | ` ' | | | |
| Priority ι | ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12)□ a)l | Acknowledgment is made of a claim for force All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Busee the attached detailed Office action for a | nents have been received. nents have been received in a priority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | Application No n received in this National | Stage | | | |
| Attachmen | t(s) | | | | | | |
| 2) Notic 3) Inform | e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB r No(s)/Mail Date | Paper No | Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO | P-152) | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/736,195 Page 2

Art Unit: 1621

DETAILED ACTION

Terminal Disclaimer

1. The application/patent being disclaimed has been improperly identified since the number used to identify the 10/111,917 being disclaimed is incorrect. The correct number is 10/111,947.

Double Patenting

2. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-9 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 20-25 of copending Application No. 10/111,947(111')¹. An obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but an examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined claim is ether anticipated by, or would have been

¹ The application has been allowed but has not issued as a patent. Once the application issues as a patent this rejection will be converted to an obvious-type double patenting rejection.

Application/Control Number: 10/736,195

Art Unit: 1621

obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428,46 USPQ2d 1226 (fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985)

Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other one of the process claimed in the 111' application is the same process as instantly claimed. The instant claims read it the first three lines of claim 20 in the application. The 111' application simply includes as an alternative other processes. The first portion of claim 20 in the application is not patentably distinct from what is instantly claimed.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Conclusion

3. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In

Application/Control Number: 10/736,195 Page 4

Art Unit: 1621

no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Samuel A Barts whose telephone number is 571-272-2870. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30-3:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Johann Richter can be reached on 571-272-0646. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Samuel A Barts Primary Examiner Art Unit 1621